

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,121	SPENCER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Rivka Monheit.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

those presently rejected in this Office action

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants attorney was contacted to amend claim 12 for clarity by inserting in line 12 after "other" the phrase --when in operation-- and to cancel claims 22-25 since no method of production appeared in the specification for the graft copolymers used in these claims and they therefore did not appear to be enabled. .